

9263 U.S. PTO  
09/15/00

09.18.00

A

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING**

I hereby certify that this paper and the documents and/or fees referred to as attached therein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on September 15, 2000 in an envelope as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR §1.10, Mailing Label Number EL560312707US, addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Attorney Docket No.: CISCIP175

First Named Inventor:

Ming-Chin Chien

9263 U.S. PTO  
09/15/00  
09/663247

*Kelly Hurley*  
Kelly Hurley

**UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL (37 CFR. § 1.53(b))**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Box Patent Application  
Washington, DC 20231

☐ Duplicate for  
fee processing

Sir: This is a request for filing a patent application under 37 CFR. § 1.53(b) in the name of inventors:  
**Ming-Chin Chien and Gregory A. Fowler**

For: **ADAPTIVE FRAGMENTATION TECHNIQUES IMPLEMENTED ON A DATA NETWORK**

**Application Elements:**

- ☒ 33 Pages of Specification, Claims and Abstract  
☒ 5 Sheets of Drawings  
☐ 2 Pages Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney

**Accompanying Application Parts:**

- ☒ Assignment and Assignment Recordation Cover Sheet (recording fee of \$40.00 enclosed)  
☐ 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement by Assignee  
☐ Information Disclosure Statement with Form PTO-1449  
☐ Copies of IDS Citations  
☒ Preliminary Amendment  
☒ Return Receipt Postcard  
☐ Small Entity Statement(s)  
☐ Other:

The PTO did not receive the following  
listed item(s) check in amount \$1720.00  
on postcard

Fee Calculation (37 CFR § 1.16)

	(Col. 1) NO. FILED	(Col. 2) NO. EXTRA	SMALL ENTITY RATE FEE	OR	LARGE ENTITY RATE FEE
BASIC FEE			\$345 \$	OR	\$690 \$690
TOTAL CLAIMS	<u>62</u> -20 = <u>42</u>		x 9 = \$	OR	x 18 = \$756
INDEP CLAIMS	<u>6</u> -03 = <u>3</u>		x 39 = \$	OR	x 78 = \$234
[ ] Multiple Dependent Claim Presented			\$130 = \$	OR	\$260 = \$
* If the difference in Col. 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in Col. 2.			Total \$	OR	Total \$1680

☒ Check No. \_\_\_\_\_ in the amount of \$ 1720.00 is enclosed.

☒ The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees beyond the amount enclosed which may be required, or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-0388 (Order No. CISCP175).

General Authorization for Petition for Extension of Time (37 CFR § 1.136)

☒ Applicants hereby make and generally authorize any Petitions for Extensions of Time as may be needed for any subsequent filings. The Commissioner is also authorized to charge any extension fees under 37 CFR § 1.17 as may be needed to Deposit Account No. 50-0388 (Order No. CISCP175).

☒ Please send correspondence to the following address:

**Customer Number 022434**  
**BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP**  
P.O. Box 778  
Berkeley, CA 94704-0778  
Telephone (510) 843-6200  
Fax (510) 843-6203



Date: \_\_\_\_\_

9/15/00

**Dean E. Wolf**  
Registration No. 37,260

---

***IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE***

---

In re application of: Chien et al.

Attorney Docket No.: CISC175

Application No.: NEW

Examiner: UNASSIGNED

Filed: HEREWITH

Group: UNASSIGNED

Title: ADAPTIVE FRAGMENTATION  
TECHNIQUES IMPLEMENTED ON A  
DATA NETWORK

---

**PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:


In the patent application filed herewith, please amend the above-identified patent application as follows:

**IN THE CLAIMS**

Claim 15, line 1, please delete the numeral "14.1".

Claim 16, line 1, please delete the numeral "14.1" and substitute therefor --15--.

Respectfully submitted,  
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

  
Dean E. Wolf  
Reg. No. 37,260

P.O. Box 778  
Berkeley, CA 94704-0778  
(510) 843-6200

## PATENT APPLICATION

### ADAPTIVE FRAGMENTATION TECHNIQUES IMPLEMENTED ON A DATA NETWORK

Inventors:

Ming-Chin Chien  
4871 Grimsby Drive  
San Jose, CA 95130  
Citizen of USA

Gregory A. Fowler  
635 California Street  
Mountain View CA 94041  
Citizen of USA

Assignee:

Cisco Technology, Inc.  
170 West Tasman Drive  
San Jose, California 95134-1706

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP  
P.O. Box 130  
Mountain View, CA 94042-0130  
Telephone (510) 843-6200

ADAPTIVE FRAGMENTATION TECHNIQUES IMPLEMENTED ON A  
DATA NETWORK

Inventors:

Ming-Chin Chien  
4871 Grimsby Drive  
San Jose, CA 95130  
Citizen of USA

Gregory A. Fowler  
635 California Street  
Mountain View CA 94041  
Citizen of USA

Assignee:

Cisco Technology, Inc.  
170 West Tasman Drive  
San Jose, California 95134-1706

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to data networks, and more particularly to adaptive fragmentation techniques to be implemented over data networks.

The merging of computers and communications has had a profound influence on the way computer systems are organized. As computer technology advances, the role of computer networks steadily increases. Various types of computer networks include internetworks (e.g., the Internet), local area networks (LANs), metropolitan area networks (MANs), and wide area networks (WANs).

The use of networks such as LANs or WANs allows data or other information to be exchanged over large distances at a reasonable speed, and at relatively low cost. Although conventional network systems are advantageous for a variety of reasons, implementing and maintaining such networks presents an ongoing problem. Moreover, a network may span a plurality of separate LANs and/or WANs to create the impression of a unified network. This has greatly complicated the implementation, maintenance, and control of system parameters within the network.

FIGURE 1A shows an example of a link 100 which forms part of a data network. The link 100 may comprise a physical or virtual circuit (e.g. Frame Relay circuit, Multilink PPP circuit, etc.) which provides a dedicated communication path between host device 114 and client device 102. For example, the link 100 may comprise at least one Frame Relay virtual circuit for transmitting data between client system 102 and host system 114. A Frame Relay virtual circuit represents a high-speed packet switching path used in wide area networks. Its use has become very popular for LAN-to-LAN connections across remote distances. A consumer may lease a Frame Relay virtual circuit between two points and send packets of information between the two points. It is also possible to lease Frame Relay circuits between a given site and multiple other sites.

As shown in FIGURE 1A, the link 100 comprises a plurality of individual network elements or devices (e.g., 104A, 104B, 108) which are part of a wide area network (WAN) 150. In the example of FIGURE 1, network devices 104A, 104B, and 108 each correspond to a respective traffic handling device (THD), such as, for example, a router or switch, that is part of the wide area network 150 and used to form link 100. Each of the traffic handling devices 104A, 104B are typically statically configured by a system administrator

using a command line interface (CLI) via a local console (not shown). The virtual circuit between THD 104A and THD 104B is provided by a WAN cloud network 110. The WAN cloud may include a plurality of THDs (108) which are statically configured by a system administrator to provide virtual circuits.

Typically, different portions of the wide area network 150 are owned and/or managed by separate entities. For example, a service provider may manage or control the gateways (104A, 104B) for accessing the wide area network from either the client machine 102 or host machine 114. Alternatively, the gateways may be managed and/or controlled by the end user. Further, the WAN cloud 110 may be managed or controlled by a separate entity such as, for example, a data network company (e.g., AT&T, MCI, Sprint, etc.). Additionally, it will be appreciated that most operating parameters of conventional traffic handling devices (such as those shown in FIGURE 1A) are typically derived from static on-board configurations having minimal, if any, interaction with the provisioning switching devices in the network and no interaction with the far end devices that terminate the circuit.

An alternate embodiment of the link 100 of FIGURE 1A is shown in FIGURE 1B. FIGURE 1B shows a schematic block diagram of a specific embodiment of link 190 which may be used for implementing the adaptive fragmentation technique of the present invention. In the example of FIGURE 1B, link 190 comprises a plurality of traffic handling devices (THDs) which collectively provide a dedicated communication path between host device 193 and client device 191. The plurality of THDs of link 190 comprise two "edge" traffic handling devices THD1, THD4 (182, 188), and comprise two "interior" traffic handling devices THD2, THD3 (184, 186).

## Network Traffic

As explained above, there currently exists a variety of different types of data networks which may be used for transmitting data between users at different geographic locations. Each of network may be configured to transmit data in accordance with different protocols such as, for example, Frame Relay, ATM, ISDN, TCP/IP, Multilink PPP, etc. Moreover, the rate of data transmission across each of these networks may vary depending upon different system perimeters such as, for example, available bandwidth, Quality of Service, etc. Furthermore, each of these data networks may be used to carry or transmit a

variety of different types of traffic including, for example, real-time traffic, and non-real-time traffic. Real-time traffic generally refers to time critical traffic such as, for example, voice traffic, video traffic, audio traffic, etc. Non-real-time traffic generally refers to non-time critical traffic such as, for example, data traffic.

Typically, when both real-time traffic and non-real-time are carried across a relatively low speed link (e.g. links that have a data transmission speed of less than 400K bits per second), relatively long non-real-time packets (e.g. long data packets) need to be fragmented into a sequence of shorter frames in order to control delay and delay variation for real-time traffic carried across that link. In the context of this application, a network link refers to any communication path which may exist between two network devices such as, for example, a virtual circuit, a physical interface, a multi link PPP session, etc.

For example, assuming that a particular network link is used for carrying both real-time and non-real-time traffic and that the network link has a data transmission speed of 64K bits per second, if it is desired to transmit a data packet of 12K bits without using fragmentation, then approximately 200 milliseconds would be required for transmitting the data packet across this link. Such a transmission delay would be undesirable in many of today's data networks, particularly in networks which are used for real-time data communication.

For this reason, many conventional data transmission protocols have adopted a standard of setting a maximum allowable transmission delay between link in a network such as, for example, 150 milliseconds. Accordingly, in networks which have adopted this standard, fragmentation may be used in order to fragment long data packets into a sequence of shorter frames to control delay and delay variation, particularly for a real-time traffic.

Currently, there are a variety of standards which specify how to implement fragmentation for different transmission protocols. One such standard is the Frame Relay Fragmentation Implementation Agreement (FRF.12), by Andrew G. Malis, published by the Frame Relay Forum of Fremont, California, 1997, incorporated herein by reference in its entirety for all purposes. Another standard is the PPP Multi Link Protocol, set forth in RFC Document No. 1717 (IETF, Sklower, et al., November 1994), incorporated herein by reference in its entirety for all purposes. There are also a number of other standards for



implementing fragmentation in networks which use different transmission protocols such as, for example, ATM, DOCSIS, TCP/IP, SONNET, etc.

Typically, in most conventional networks which implement fragmentation, the service provider or network manager manually configures the maximum allowable fragmentation size on each link of the network. This information is typically stored in a configuration file on each of the network devices. After being reset, or upon initialization, each network device uses the information from its configuration file to statically configure itself to implement fragmentation for any traffic which exceeds the maximum allowable fragmentation size (MAX\_FRAG).

One disadvantage of fragmentation is that it consumes a significant portion of network resources, including CPU power of each network device. Another disadvantage of conventional techniques for implementing fragmentation is that, once fragmentation is enabled on a particular link, it will remain enabled, and will further remain statically configured to fragment packets which have a size greater than the MAX\_FRAG\_SIZE regardless of whether or not there is any real-time traffic currently being carried on that link. A further disadvantage of fragmentation is that its use increases the amount of overhead needed for routing, switching, or forwarding traffic at a particular network device.

Thus, it will be appreciated that many conventional fragmentation techniques are not efficient. In light of the above, there is a continual need to improve upon techniques for transmitting information in data networks which carry both real-time and non-real-time data.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to specific embodiments of the present invention, a method and computer program product are disclosed for providing adaptive fragmentation on at least one link of a data network. At least one condition on a selected link may be monitored by a network device. Fragmentation on the link may then be automatically enabled upon detecting the occurrence of a first condition on the link. According to a specific embodiment, the first condition may relate to the detection of real-time traffic being carried over the link. Additionally, fragmentation on the link may be automatically

disabled in response to detecting the occurrence of a second condition on the link. According to a specific embodiment, the second condition may relate to the absence of real-time traffic being transported over the link for at least a predetermined time interval T. in accordance with at least one embodiment, the technique of the present invention provides for adaptive fragmentation on selected network links whereby fragmentation on a link may be dynamically enabled or disabled depending upon whether any real-time traffic is being transported over the link.

In accordance with at least one embodiment of the present invention, fragmentation on a selected link may be disabled in the absence of real-time traffic being transported on that link. In this way, the non-real-time traffic will not be penalized by unnecessary fragmentation, thereby resulting in improved network performance. Further, according to a specific embodiment, whenever real-time traffic is detected on the link, fragmentation may be automatically enabled in order to assure the quality of real-time traffic transport.

According to another embodiment of the present invention, fragmentation on a particular link may be automatically enabled in response to detecting an external real-time set-up signal for establishing at least one real-time connection on the link. Additionally, fragmentation may be automatically disabled in response to determining that no real-time connections are currently established on the link.

According to another embodiment of the present invention, a selected network link may be configured to include a dynamically adjustable fragmentation size value relating to a preferred fragmentation size of packets to be transported on that link such that fragmentation will be performed on selected packets which have a size greater than the fragmentation size value. The fragmentation size value may then be automatically adjusted in response to detecting real-time traffic being transported on the link to thereby cause fragmentation to effectively be enabled on the link. Additionally, according to a specific implementation, the fragmentation size value on the link may be increased over time as long as no real-time traffic is detected on the link. In this way, the use of fragmentation on the link may gradually be decreased over time in the absence of real-time traffic being transported on the link.

An alternate embodiment of the present invention is directed to a network device for transmitting information over a link in a data network. The network device comprises at least one CPU, memory, and at least one interface adapted to communicate with other

network devices via the link. The network device is configured or designed to monitor conditions on the link and is further configured or designed to automatically enable fragmentation on the link upon detecting the presence of real-time traffic being transported over the link. The network device may further be configured or designed to automatically disable fragmentation on the link in response to detecting the absence of real-time traffic on the link for at least a predetermined time interval T.

Additional objects, features and advantages of the various aspects of the present invention will become apparent from the following description of its preferred embodiments, which description should be taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIGURE 1A shows an example of a link 100 which forms part of a data network.

FIGURE 1B shows a schematic block diagram of a specific embodiment of link 190 which may be used for implementing the adaptive fragmentation technique of the present invention

FIGURE 2 shows a flow diagram of an Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure A 200 in accordance with a specific embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 3 shows a flow diagram of an Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure B300 in accordance with a specific embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 4 shows a flow diagram of an Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure C 400 in accordance with a specific embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 5 shows a schematic block diagram of a network device suitable for implementing the adaptive fragmentation techniques of the present invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Generally, the technique of the present invention may be used to improve the efficiency of fragmentation techniques currently implemented in many of today's data networks. According to a specific embodiment, fragmentation may be enabled on a particular link at times when there is real-time traffic being carried over that link. However, at times when there is no real-time traffic being carried over the link, fragmentation may be disabled or significantly reduced. In this way, the technique of the present invention may be used to improve the efficiency data networks, such as, for

example, by reducing the costs associated with implementing fragmentation on one or more links in the network. Moreover, the adaptive fragmentation technique of the present invention may be used to implement automatic and dynamic reconfiguration of fragmentation parameters (e.g., MAX\_FRAG\_SIZE, fragmentation enabled, fragmentation disabled, etc.) on one or more selected links of the data network.

FIGURE 2 shows a flow diagram of an Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure A 200 in accordance with a specific embodiment of the present invention. According to a specific implementation, a separate thread of the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure A may be implemented for each link or selected links which are handled by that network device. Further, according to a specific implementation, a separate thread of the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure A may be implemented for each link or selected links which are handled by a given network device. For example, the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure A may be implemented at one or more traffic handling devices (e.g., 104A, 104B, 108) which form a link or virtual link 150 between client system 102 and server system 114. In the example of FIGURE 2, it is assumed that the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure A 200 is implemented at traffic handling device (THD) 104A (FIGURE 1A), which shall be referred to as the "network device."

Generally, the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure A describes an adaptive fragmentation technique which may be used to enable or disable fragmentation on a given link based on a predetermined time interval T. As described in greater detail below, if, during a predetermined time interval T, no real-time traffic has been transported on a selected link, then fragmentation may be disabled on that link. Whenever real-time traffic is detected on the link, fragmentation may be immediately enabled. According to a specific embodiment, the value of the predetermined time interval T may be configurable and may depend one or more parameters specific to the data network implementing the present inventive technique. According to a specific implementation, the value of the predetermined time interval T may range, for example, from a few milliseconds to several minutes. According to one implementation, the value of the predetermined time interval T may be set equal to a value ranging from 60 seconds to 180 seconds.

As shown at 202 of FIGURE 2, the network device waits to receive a packet. Once a packet has been received, the network device then determines (204) whether the received packet is associated with real-time traffic or a non-real-time traffic. According to a

specific embodiment, the network device may make this determination by examining the packet header. According to other embodiments, the network device may make this determination by monitoring one or more interfaces where packets are received.

If it is determined that the received packet is a non-real-time packet, then the network device next determines (206) whether fragmentation has been disabled on the link associated with the received packet. If it is determined that fragmentation is currently disabled on the identified link, the network device may then process (222) the received packet in accordance with normal traffic handling protocol. Alternatively, if it is determined (at 206) that fragmentation is currently enabled on the identified link, the network device may then consult a specific timer in order to determine (208) whether the predetermined time interval T has expired. According to specific embodiments, the timer may be implemented via software, hardware, or some combination of both software and hardware. Further, according to a specific implementation, the timer is accumulated based upon the system clock.

A primary function of the timer is to measure the time that has elapsed since the network device received a real-time packet. As explained in greater detail below, each time the network device receives a real-time packet on a selected link, the timer may be reset to zero. According to a specific embodiment, each time the timer is reset or restarted, the measurement of the predetermined time interval T starts over. The timer may be configured or designed to expire after having run continuously (e.g. without being reset) for a length of time equal to the value of the predetermined time interval T. Thus, if it is determined (208) that the timer has expired, it may be assumed that no real-time packet has been received at the network device for an amount of time at least equal to the predetermined time interval T. Accordingly, fragmentation may then be disabled (210) on the identified link in order to improve the traffic handling performance of the network device.

If, on the other hand, it is determined (208) that the timer has not expired, then it may be concluded that at least one real-time packet has been received at the network device within the predetermined time interval T. Accordingly, fragmentation may remain enabled in order to ensure that subsequent real-time packets are not significantly delayed by long data packets which would otherwise require fragmentation. Thereafter, the

network device may process (222) the received packet in accordance with normal traffic handling procedures.

Returning to block 204, if it is determined that the received packet is a real-time packet, then the network device enables (214) fragmentation on the identified link associated with the received packet (if currently disabled), and resets (216) the timer. Thereafter, the network device processes (222) the packet in accordance with normal traffic handling procedures. For example, the network device may proceed to queue the packet for processing after initiating the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure A. According to a specific embodiment, the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure A may be executed or initiated each time a packet is processed by a particular router, switch or other network device.

One advantage of not disabling fragmentation on a selected link until a predetermined time interval T has elapsed is that it helps to reduce transmission delays attributable to long data packets being processed without fragmentation. For example, if fragmentation were to be disabled each time a non-real-time packet is received, this may result in an unacceptable result time for processing subsequent real-time packets, particularly if the non-real-time packet is a relatively long data packet which, if processed without fragmentation, would result in a delay of greater than 150 milliseconds. Thus, by not disabling fragmentation until after a predetermined time interval T has elapsed during which time no real-time packets have been received, the technique of the present invention allows for the anticipation of receiving subsequent real-time packets where at least one real-time packet has been received within the time interval T. This feature is particularly advantageous in networks where traffic patterns and traffic types may vary depending upon the hours of operation of the network. For example, during normal business hours (e.g. 9am to 5pm) there may be a substantial amount of real-time traffic (e.g. voice traffic) on a particular network link, whereas during non-business hours little, if any, real-time traffic may be carried on that link.

An alternate embodiment of the adaptive fragmentation technique of the present invention is shown in FIGURE 3 of the drawings. FIGURE 3 shows a flow diagram of an Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure B 300 in accordance with a specific embodiment of the present invention.

According to the specific embodiment of FIGURE 3, fragmentation may be enabled or disabled based upon the presence or absence of real-time sessions on a particular link. Thus, for example, according to a specific implementation, fragmentation will be enabled at times when it is detected that there exists one or more real-time sessions on a particular link. If no real-time sessions are detected on that link, fragmentation may then be disabled.

In a specific implementation, the adaptive fragmentation technique of FIGURE 3 uses external signals to control whether fragmentation will be enabled or disabled. For example, fragmentation on a particular link may be enabled in response to detection of a real-time set-up signal on that link such as, for example, an off-hook signal from a telephone, an RSVP reservation signal, and ISDN connect message, an ATM connect or set-up message, a Frame Relay Setup Message, a real-time voice/video bandwidth reservation signal, etc. In this way fragmentation may be automatically and dynamically enabled in appropriate network devices which form part of an end-to-end circuit that has been setup for carrying real-time traffic.

According to a specific implementation, the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure B may be implemented in the edge network devices of a specific channel or link that has been setup to carry real-time traffic. According to a different implementation, for example, in which the network link supports the well-known RSVP protocol, the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure B of FIGURE 3 may be implemented at each of the network devices which form part of the network link. The RSVP protocol is generally known to one having ordinary skill in the art, and is described in full detail in the reference RFC No. 2205 (IETF, Braden, et al., September 1997), which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety for all purposes.

In the example of FIGURE 3, it is assumed that the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure B is implemented in a particular network device such as traffic handling device 104A of FIGURE 1A of the drawings. At 302 the network device waits for a signal. According to the specific embodiment of FIGURE 3, the signal refers to an external signal which is typically generated by a source external to the network device. In an alternate embodiment, the signal may be generated by the network device or a component or subsystem thereof. Upon receiving a signal, the network device then determines (304) whether the detected signal corresponds to a real-time set-up signal, such as those

described previously. Presumably, the real-time set-up will correspond to the provisioning of a real-time circuit for transporting real-time traffic over the selected link.

If it is determined that the detected signal corresponds to a real-time set-up signal, then the network device enables (306) fragmentation on the link or channel associated with the real-time set-up signal. Thereafter, the network device increments (308) a real-time connection counter, which may be used to keep track of the number of real-time connections currently being carried on the identified link or channel. In accordance with a specific embodiment, each time a real-time set-up signal is received at the network device, fragmentation will be enabled (if previously disabled), and the real-time connection count value will be incremented.

Returning to block 304 of FIGURE 3, if it is determined that the detected signal is not a real-time set-up signal, then the network device determines (352) whether the detected signal corresponds to a real-time disconnect signal. According to at least one embodiment, the real-time disconnect signal may correspond to a variety of different protocol signals which are used to acknowledge disconnection of a real-time circuit that has been set-up to carry real-time traffic. Examples of real-time disconnect signals include an on-hook telephone signal, an RSVP reservation signal, an ISDN disconnect message, an ATM disconnect message, a Frame Relay Disconnect message, etc.

If it is determined that the detected signal corresponds to a real-time disconnect signal, then the network device decrements (354) the real-time count value. Thereafter, the network device determines whether the real-time connection count value is equal to zero. If it is determined that the real-time connection count value is equal to zero, then it may be concluded that there are currently no real-time sessions which exist on the identified or selected link. Accordingly, fragmentation on the identified link may be disabled (360) in order to improve the performance of the network device.

Alternatively, if it is determined that the value of the real-time connection count is greater than zero, then it may be concluded that there currently exists at least one real-time session on the identified link. Accordingly, fragmentation on the identified link is or remains enabled (358) on the selected link.

It will be appreciated that the enabling or disabling of fragmentation need not be performed if the network device determines that the newly desired fragmentation state (e.g. enabled or disabled) is the same as the current fragmentation state of the identified link.



An alternate embodiment of the adaptive fragmentation technique of the present invention is shown in FIGURE 4 of the drawings. FIGURE 4 shows a flow diagram of an Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure C 400 in accordance with a specific embodiment of the present invention. Like the embodiment of FIGURE 2, the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure C of FIGURE 4 may be implemented by a particular network device on a per-packet basis. That is to say, the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure C 400 may be implemented each time a particular network device receives a packet for processing on a particular link or circuit.

According to the specific embodiment of FIGURE 4, the network device may be configured to always enable fragmentation on selected links where fragmentation is desirable. However, in accordance with the technique of the present invention as shown, for example, in FIGURE 4, the use of fragmentation on a given link may be dynamically and automatically controlled by adjusting the FRAG\_SIZE value associated with that link. Thus, for example, where the value of FRAG\_SIZE is set equal to the maximum packet size value or maximum transmission unit (MTU) value for a particular link, fragmentation on that link will effectively be disabled. Alternatively, where the value of FRAG\_SIZE is set equal to the minimum fragment size or minimum transmission unit value on a particular link, fragmentation on that link will effectively be enabled.

It will be appreciated that the number of fragments which a packet may be fragmented into (on a particular link) is determined by the fragment size or FRAG\_SIZE value associated with that link. The smaller the FRAG\_SIZE value, the more fragments a packet will be fragmented into, and hence the more CPU time is required to process the packet.

As described in greater detail below, the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure C of FIGURE 4 defers from the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure A of FIGURE 2 in that it does not effectively disable fragmentation immediately when no real-time traffic has been present on a particular link for a predetermined time interval T. Rather, according to the embodiment of FIGURE 4, the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure C gradually decreases the use of fragmentation on a particular link over time so long as no real-time traffic is detected as being present on that link. According to a specific embodiment as shown, for example, in FIGURE 4, the gradual decrease in the use of fragmentation on a particular link may be achieved by gradually increasing the FRAG\_SIZE value on that link for each

time interval that passes in which no real-time traffic is present on that link. When the FRAG\_SIZE value is equal to the MTU size value of a given link, fragmentation is effectively disabled on that link.

According to a specific implementation, a separate thread of the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure C may be implemented for each link or selected links which are handled by that network device. Further, according to a specific implementation, a separate thread of the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure C may be implemented for each link or selected links which are handled by a given network device. For example, the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure C may be implemented at one or more traffic handling devices (e.g., 104A, 104B, 108) which form a link or virtual link 150 between client system 102 and server system 114. In the example of FIGURE 4, it is assumed that the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure C is implemented at traffic handling device (THD) 104A (FIGURE 1A), which shall be referred to as the "network device."

The specific embodiment of FIGURE 4 defines a number of operating parameters which will now be described. A first parameter defines a timer interval value (i) and associated timer whose functions are similar to the timer and predetermined time interval T described previously with respect to FIGURE 2 of the drawings. Another parameter defined in the embodiment of FIGURE 4 is the interval count value (c). The interval count value may be used to keep track of the number of cycles completed by the timer. For example, according to a specific implementation, the timer interval value (i) may be set equal to 60 seconds. Each time the timer completes a cycle of 60 seconds, the interval count ( c ) is incremented. The interval count value may be tracked using a hardware or software counter which is generally known to one having ordinary skill in the art. According to a specific embodiment, the timer interval value (i) may be a predetermined value which may vary depending upon the variety of different network configuration parameters. According to at least one implementation, the timer interval value may range from a few milliseconds to several minutes or hours. Other parameters defined by the embodiment of FIGURE 4 include a MIN\_FRAG value which represents the minimum allowable fragmentation size on a particular link, a MAX\_FRAG value which represents the maximum fragmentation size on a particular link, and a FRAG\_SIZE value which is a dynamically adjustable value that represents the current fragmentation size on a particular link. According to a specific embodiment, the MIN\_FRAG and MAX\_FRAG values are

predetermined values which are configurable by the network operator or administrator. In one implementation, the MIN\_FRAG value may be set equal to the minimum transmission unit value defined by the traffic handling protocol used by the network. For example, in a conventional ATM network, the minimum transmission unit value may be defined as 2  
5 ATM cells or 96 bytes. Accordingly, in an ATM network, the MIN\_FRAG value may be set equal to 96 bytes. Further, in an ATM network the MAX\_FRAG value may be set equal to 1500 bytes, which represents the MTU packet size for ATM links.

In a specific implementation, a network device which is configured to implement the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure C of FIGURE 4 may be initially configured to set  
10 the FRAG\_SIZE value equal to the MIN\_FRAG value, and the interval count value set equal to zero. Once initially configured, as shown in the example of FIGURE 4, the network device waits (402) for a packet to be received. Upon receiving a packet, the network device then determines (404) whether the received packet is a real-time packet. If it is determined (404) that the received packet corresponds to a real-time packet, then it is  
15 desirable to keep fragmentation enabled on the link in order to control delay and delay variation for real-time traffic being carried on the link. Accordingly, the FRAG\_SIZE value is set (414) equal to the MIN\_FRAG value, the timer is reset (416), and the interval count (c) is set (418) equal to zero. Thereafter, the packet is processed (422) in accordance with normal traffic handling procedures. Thus, according to the embodiment of FIGURE  
20 4, whenever real-time traffic is detected on a particular link, fragmentation will effectively be enabled on a particular link by setting the FRAG\_SIZE value equal to the MIN\_FRAG value.

Returning to block 404 of FIGURE 4, if it is determined that the received packet is a non-real time packet, then it may be desirable to reduce the amount or degree of  
25 fragmentation used on that link. Accordingly, the network device determines (406) whether the timer has expired. If it is determined that the timer has not expired, then the received packet is processed (422) in accordance with normal traffic handling procedures. If, however, it is determined that the timer has expired, the timer is reset (408), and the interval count (c) is incremented (410). Thereafter, at 412 the FRAG\_SIZE value is set  
30 equal to the following expression:

$$\text{FRAG\_SIZE} = \text{MIN\_FRAG value} + (\text{Scale Factor}) * 2^c, \quad (1)$$

where the Scale Factor is a predetermined value which may be used to control how quickly fragmentation will be disabled in the absence of real-time traffic being carried on the link. Thus, for each consecutive interval (i) in which there is no real-time traffic over the link, the interval count (c) is incremented by one and the FRAG\_SIZE value is recalculated according to the expression (1) defined above. According to a specific embodiment, the Scale Factor value is configurable by a network administrator, and may range, for example, from 32 to 128.

From the above expression (1) it will be appreciated that the FRAG\_SIZE value will exponentially increase as the interval count value (c) is incremented. The rate at which the FRAG\_SIZE value increases may be controlled by adjusting the value of the Scale Factor. According to a specific embodiment where the data network corresponds to an ATM network, the MAX\_FRAG value may be set equal to 1500 bytes, and the Scale Factor value may be selected from a range of possible values such as, for example, 32-128 bytes. Further, according to a specific embodiment, the FRAG\_SIZE value may never be larger than the MAX\_FRAG value for a selected link. Thus, according to this embodiment, when the result of expression (1) above exceeds the MAX\_FRAG value, the FRAG\_SIZE value will be set equal to the MAX\_FRAG value.

It will be appreciated that the adaptive fragmentation techniques described herein provide the benefit of improved performance of network devices (e.g. routers, switches, or other network devices) which are configured to implement fragmentation. In accordance with at least one embodiment of the present invention, in the absence of real-time traffic, the non-real-time traffic will not be penalized by unnecessary fragmentation, thereby resulting in a improved network performance. Whenever real-time traffic is detected, the network device may immediately enable fragmentation in order to assure the quality of real-time traffic. This feature is particularly valuable for handling VoIP traffic and Multilink PPP traffic.

It will be appreciated that if the MAX\_FRAG value is set equal to the MTU size, and the FRAG\_SIZE value reaches the MAX\_FRAG value, then fragmentation is effectively disabled. However, the MAX\_FRAG value does not necessarily have to be the same as the MTU size. For example, as described previously, the MAX\_FRAG value may be determined based upon selected network configuration parameters which results in a maximum network device processing time of less than 150 milliseconds for any given

packet. Further, fragmentation may be effectively enabled at all times by setting the MIN\_FRAG value equal to the MAX\_FRAG value.

It will further be appreciated that the adaptive fragmentation procedures A and C are based upon classification and/or analysis of packets received at a particular network device and does not require any signaling between other network components. Thus, for example, the adaptive fragmentation procedures A and C may be implemented on each of the traffic handling devices (182, 184, 186, 190) which form the link or virtual circuit between the host 193 and the client 191 of FIGURE 1B.

Alternatively, the specific implementation of the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure B of FIGURE 3 is based upon reception or detection of external signals from other network devices. Thus, according to one implementation, the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure B need only be implemented at the end or edge routers (e.g. 182, 190) of the communication link 190 connecting host 193 to client 191 (FIGURE 1B). According to this implementation, the interior routers (e.g. 184, 186) need not implement the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure B. However, according to an alternate embodiment, the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure B may also be implemented at the interior routers (as well as the edge routers) which are part of a particular link or virtual circuit. For example, if the virtual circuit 190 of FIGURE 1B is configured to utilize the RSVP protocol, it would be desirable to implement the Adaptive Fragmentation Procedure B on each of the traffic handling devices (182, 184, 186, 190) which are part of the virtual circuit 190.

#### Other Embodiments

Generally, the adaptive fragmentation techniques of the present invention may be implemented on software and/or hardware. For example, they can be implemented in an operating system kernel, in a separate user process, in a library package bound into network applications, on a specially constructed machine, or on a network interface card. In a specific embodiment of this invention, the technique of the present invention is implemented in software such as an operating system or in an application running on an operating system.

A software or software/hardware hybrid implementation of the adaptive fragmentation technique of this invention may be implemented on a general-purpose

programmable machine selectively activated or reconfigured by a computer program stored in memory. Such programmable machine may be a network device designed to handle network traffic, such as, for example, traffic handling device 104A of Figure 1. Such network devices may have multiple network interfaces including frame relay and ISDN interfaces, for example. Specific examples of such network devices include routers and switches. For example, the boom server and boom client server devices of this invention may be specially configured routers or servers such as specially configured router models 1600, 2500, 2600, 3600, 4500, 4700, 7200, 7500, and 12000 available from Cisco Systems, Inc. of San Jose, California. A general architecture for some of these machines will appear from the description given below. In an alternative embodiment, the adaptive fragmentation technique of this invention may be implemented on a general-purpose network host machine such as a personal computer or workstation. Further, the invention may be at least partially implemented on a card (e.g., an interface card) for a network device or a general-purpose computing device.

Referring now to FIGURE 5, a network device 60 suitable for implementing the adaptive fragmentation techniques of the present invention includes a master central processing unit (CPU) 62, interfaces 68, and a bus 67 (e.g., a PCI bus). When acting under the control of appropriate software or firmware, the CPU 62 may be responsible for implementing specific functions associated with the functions of a desired network device.

For example, when configured as a router or switch, the CPU 62 may be responsible for analyzing packets, encapsulating packets, forwarding packets to appropriate network devices, setting fragmentation size for selected links, maintaining interval and timer count values, detecting real-time set-up or disconnect signals, etc. The CPU 62 preferably accomplishes all these functions under the control of software including an operating system (e.g. Windows NT), and any appropriate applications software.

CPU 62 may include one or more processors 63 such as a processor from the Motorola family of microprocessors or the MIPS family of microprocessors. In an alternative embodiment, processor 63 is specially designed hardware for controlling the operations of network device 60. In a specific embodiment, a memory 61 (such as non-volatile RAM and/or ROM) also forms part of CPU 62. However, there are many different ways in which memory could be coupled to the system. Memory block 61 may be

used for a variety of purposes such as, for example, caching and/or storing data, programming instructions, etc.

The interfaces 68 are typically provided as interface cards (sometimes referred to as "line cards"). Generally, they control the sending and receiving of data packets over the network and sometimes support other peripherals used with the network device 60. Among the interfaces that may be provided are Ethernet interfaces, frame relay interfaces, cable interfaces, DSL interfaces, token ring interfaces, and the like. In addition, various very high-speed interfaces may be provided such as fast Ethernet interfaces, Gigabit Ethernet interfaces, ATM interfaces, HSSI interfaces, POS interfaces, FDDI interfaces and the like. Generally, these interfaces may include ports appropriate for communication with the appropriate media. In some cases, they may also include an independent processor and, in some instances, volatile RAM. The independent processors may control such communications intensive tasks as packet switching, media control and management. By providing separate processors for the communications intensive tasks, these interfaces allow the master microprocessor 62 to efficiently perform routing computations, network diagnostics, security functions, etc.

Although the system shown in FIGURE 5 illustrates one specific network device of the present invention, it is by no means the only network device architecture on which the present invention can be implemented. For example, an architecture having a single processor that handles communications as well as routing computations, etc. is often used. Further, other types of interfaces and media could also be used with the network device.

Regardless of network device's configuration, it may employ one or more memories or memory modules (such as, for example, memory block 65) configured to store data, program instructions for the general-purpose network operations and/or other information relating to the functionality of the adaptive fragmentation techniques described herein. The program instructions may control the operation of an operating system and/or one or more applications, for example. The memory or memories may also be configured to store data structures which specify fragmentation size, timer count, interval count, real-time connection count, and scale factor values for selected links of the network.

Because such information and program instructions may be employed to implement the systems/methods described herein, the present invention relates to machine

readable media that include program instructions, state information, etc. for performing various operations described herein. Examples of machine-readable media include, but are not limited to, magnetic media such as hard disks, floppy disks, and magnetic tape; optical media such as CD-ROM disks; magneto-optical media such as floptical disks; and

5 hardware devices that are specially configured to store and perform program instructions, such as read-only memory devices (ROM) and random access memory (RAM). The invention may also be embodied in a carrier wave travelling over an appropriate medium such as airwaves, optical lines, electric lines, etc. Examples of program instructions include both machine code, such as produced by a compiler, and files containing higher  
10 level code that may be executed by the computer using an interpreter.

Although several preferred embodiments of this invention have been described in detail herein with reference to the accompanying drawings, it is to be understood that the invention is not limited to these precise embodiments, and at various changes and  
15 modifications may be effected therein by one skilled in the art without departing from the scope of spirit of the invention as defined in the appended claims.



IT IS CLAIMED:

1. A method for transmitting information over a link in a data network, the method comprising:

monitoring conditions on the link;

5 automatically enabling fragmentation on the link upon detecting the occurrence of a first condition; and

automatically disabling fragmentation on the link in response to detecting the occurrence of a second condition.

10 2. The method of claim 1 wherein the first condition relates to the detection of real-time traffic being carried over said link.

3. The method of claim 1 wherein the second condition relates to the detection of no real-time traffic being carried over said link for at least a predetermined time interval

15 T.

4. The method of claim 3 wherein the predetermined interval T is a value ranging from about 60 seconds to about 180 seconds.

20 5. A computer program product comprising a computer readable medium, the computer readable medium comprising computer code for implementing the method of claim 1.

25 6. The method of claim 1 wherein said monitoring includes receiving a first packet, said method further comprising:

determining whether the first packet is associated with real-time traffic; and

automatically disabling fragmentation on the link after determining that the first packet is not associated with real-time traffic.

7. The method of claim 6 wherein the automatic disabling of fragmentation occurs after a predetermined time interval T has elapsed during which time no packets associated with real-time traffic have been received.

8. The method of claim 6 further comprising automatically enabling fragmentation on the link in response to determining that the first packet is associated with real-time traffic.

9. A computer program product comprising a computer readable medium, the computer readable medium comprising computer code for implementing the method of claim 6.

10. The method of claim 1 further comprising:  
detecting an external signal from a first network device;  
determining whether the external signal is related to a real-time set-up signal for establishing at least one real-time connection on the link;  
automatically enabling fragmentation on the link in response to determining that the external signal is related to a real-time set-up signal; and  
automatically disabling fragmentation on the link in response to a determination that no real-time connections are currently established on the link.

11. The method of claim 1 wherein the first condition relates to detection of a real-time set-up signal for establishing at least one real-time connection on the link.

12. The method of claim 1 wherein the second condition relates a determination that no real-time connections are currently established on the link.

13. A computer program product comprising a computer readable medium, the computer readable medium comprising computer code for implementing the method of claim 10.

14. A method for transmitting information over a link in a data network, the method comprising:

configuring the link to include a dynamically adjustable FRAG\_SIZE value relating to a fragmentation size for packets carried on the link such that fragmentation will  
5 be performed on selected packets which have a size greater than the FRAG\_SIZE value; and

dynamically adjusting the FRAG\_SIZE value on the link in response to predetermined criteria.

10 15. 14.1 The method of claim 14 further comprising automatically adjusting the FRAG\_SIZE value in response to detecting real-time traffic being carried on the link to thereby cause fragmentation to effectively be enabled on the link.

16. The method of claim 14.1 further comprising increasing the FRAG\_SIZE  
15 value of the link in response to determining that no real-time traffic has been carried over the link for at least one predetermined time interval T.

17. The method of claim 16 further comprising continually increasing the  
FRAG\_SIZE value on the link over time so long as no real-time traffic is detected on the  
20 link.

18. The method of claim 17 further comprising limiting the increase of  
FRAG\_SIZE value to a maximum predetermined value which represents a maximum  
transmission unit size of packets to be carried over the link, thereby effectively disabling  
25 fragmentation on the link.

19. The method of claim 14 wherein the FRAG\_SIZE value is increased  
substantially linearly over time in response to no real-time traffic being detected on the  
link.

20. The method of claim 14 wherein the FRAG\_SIZE value is increased substantially exponentially over time in response to no real-time traffic being detected on the link.

5 21. The method of claim 1, wherein the link includes at least one network device, and the network device is configured to transmit information over the link using a Frame Relay protocol.

10 22. The method of claim 1, wherein the link includes at least one network device, and the network device is configured to transmit information over the link using a PPP multilink protocol.

15 23. The method of claim 1, wherein the link includes at least one network device, and the network device is configured to transmit information over the link using an ATM protocol.

20 24. A computer program product comprising a computer readable medium, the computer readable medium comprising computer code for implementing the method of claim 14.

25 25. A method for providing adaptive fragmentation of information packets transmitted over a link of a data network, the method comprising:

monitoring conditions on the link;

automatically enabling fragmentation on the link upon detecting the occurrence of

25 a first condition; and

automatically disabling fragmentation on the link in response to detecting the occurrence of a second condition.

30 26. The method of claim 25 wherein the first condition relates to the detection of real-time traffic being carried over said link.

27. The method of claim 25 wherein the second condition relates to the detection of no real-time traffic being carried over said link for at least a predetermined time interval T.

5 28. The method of claim 27 wherein the predetermined time interval T is a value ranging from about 60 seconds to about 180 seconds.

29. A computer program product comprising a computer readable medium, the computer readable medium comprising computer code for implementing the method of  
10 claim 25.

30. The method of claim 25 wherein said monitoring includes receiving a first packet, said method further comprising:

15 determining whether the first packet is associated with real-time traffic; and  
automatically disabling fragmentation on the link after determining that the first packet is not associated with real-time traffic.

31. The method of claim 30 wherein the automatic disabling of fragmentation occurs after a predetermined time interval T has elapsed during which time no packets  
20 associated with real-time traffic have been received.

32. The method of claim 30 further comprising automatically enabling fragmentation on the link in response to determining that the first packet is associated with real-time traffic.

25 33. The method of claim 25 further comprising:  
detecting an external signal from a first network device;  
determining whether the external signal is related to a real-time set-up signal for establishing at least one real-time connection on the link;  
30 automatically enabling fragmentation on the link in response to determining that the external signal is related to a real-time set-up signal; and

automatically disabling fragmentation on the link in response to a determination that no real-time connections are currently established on the link.

34. The method of claim 25 wherein the first condition relates to detection of a  
5 real-time set-up signal for establishing at least one real-time connection on the link.

35. The method of claim 25 wherein the second condition relates a determination that no real-time connections are currently established on the link.

10 36. A method for providing adaptive fragmentation of information packets transmitted over a link of a data network, the method comprising:

15 configuring the link to include a dynamically adjustable FRAG\_SIZE value relating to a fragmentation size for packets carried on the link such that fragmentation will be performed on selected packets which have a size greater than the FRAG\_SIZE value;  
and

dynamically adjusting the FRAG\_SIZE value on the link in response to predetermined criteria.

20 37. The method of claim 36 further comprising automatically adjusting the FRAG\_SIZE value in response to detecting real-time traffic being carried on the link to thereby cause fragmentation to effectively be enabled on the link.

25 38. The method of claim 37 further comprising increasing the FRAG\_SIZE value of the link in response to determining that no real-time traffic has been carried over the link for at least one predetermined time interval T.

30 39. The method of claim 38 further comprising continually increasing the FRAG\_SIZE value on the link over time so long as no real-time traffic is detected on the link.

40. The method of claim 39 further comprising limiting the increase of FRAG\_SIZE value to a maximum predetermined value which represents a maximum

transmission unit size of packets to be carried over the link, thereby effectively disabling fragmentation on the link.

41. The method of claim 36 wherein the FRAG\_SIZE value is increased  
5 substantially linearly over time in response to no real-time traffic being detected on the link.

42. The method of claim 36 wherein the FRAG\_SIZE value is increased  
substantially exponentially over time in response to no real-time traffic being detected on  
10 the link.

43. The method of claim 25, wherein the link includes at least one network  
device, and the network device is configured to transmit information over the link using a  
Frame Relay protocol.

44. The method of claim 25, wherein the link includes at least one network  
device, and the network device is configured to transmit information over the link using a  
PPP multilink protocol.

45. The method of claim 25, wherein the link includes at least one network  
device, and the network device is configured to transmit information over the link using an  
ATM protocol.

46. A network device for transmitting information over a link in a data  
25 network, the network device comprising:

at least one CPU;

memory; and

at least one interface adapted to communicate using the link;

the network device being configured or designed to monitor conditions on the link;

30 the network device further being configured or designed to automatically enable  
fragmentation on the link upon detecting the occurrence of a first condition;

the network device further being configured or designed to automatically disable fragmentation on the link in response to detecting the occurrence of a second condition.

47. The network device of claim 46 wherein the first condition relates to the detection of real-time traffic being carried over said link.

48. The network device of claim 46 wherein the second condition relates to the detection of no real-time traffic being carried over said link for at least a predetermined time interval T.

49. The network device of claim 46 wherein:  
the network device is further configured or designed to receive a first packet via said link;

the network device is further configured or designed to determine whether the first packet is associated with real-time traffic; and

wherein the network device is further configured or designed to automatically disable fragmentation on the link after determining that the first packet is not associated with real-time traffic.

50. The network device of claim 49 wherein the automatic disabling of fragmentation occurs after a predetermined time interval T has elapsed during which time no packets associated with real-time traffic have been received.

51. The network device of claim 49 wherein the network device is further configured or designed to automatically enable fragmentation on the link in response to determining that the first packet is associated with real-time traffic.

52. The network device of claim 46 wherein:  
the network device is further configured or designed to detect an external signal from a first network device;



the network device is further configured or designed to determine whether the external signal is related to a real-time set-up signal for establishing at least one real-time connection on the link;

the network device is further configured or designed to automatically enable fragmentation on the link in response to determining that the external signal is related to a real-time set-up signal; and

wherein the network device is further configured or designed to automatically disable fragmentation on the link in response to a determination that no real-time connections are currently established on the link.

53. The network device of claim 46 wherein the first condition relates to detection of a real-time set-up signal for establishing at least one real-time connection on the link.

54. The network device of claim 46 wherein the second condition relates a determination that no real-time connections are currently established on the link.

55. The network device of claim 46 wherein the link is configured to include a dynamically adjustable FRAG\_SIZE value relating to a fragmentation size for packets carried on the link such that fragmentation will be performed on selected packets which have a size greater than the FRAG\_SIZE value; and

wherein the network device is further configured or designed to automatically adjust the FRAG\_SIZE value in response to detecting real-time traffic being carried on the link to thereby cause fragmentation to effectively be enabled on the link.

56. The network device of claim 55 wherein the network device is further configured or designed to increase the FRAG\_SIZE value of the link in response to determining that no real-time traffic has been carried over the link for at least one predetermined time interval T.

57. The network device of claim 56 wherein the network device is further configured or designed to continually increase the FRAG\_SIZE value on the link over time so long as no real-time traffic is detected on the link.

5 58. The network device of claim 57 wherein the network device is further configured or designed to limit the increase of FRAG\_SIZE value to a maximum predetermined value which represents a maximum transmission unit size of packets to be carried over the link, thereby effectively disabling fragmentation on the link.

10 59. The network device of claim 46, wherein the link includes at least one network device, and the network device is configured to transmit information over the link using a Frame Relay protocol.

15 60. The network device of claim 46, wherein the link includes at least one network device, and the network device is configured to transmit information over the link using a PPP multilink protocol.

20 61. The network device of claim 46, wherein the link includes at least one network device, and the network device is configured to transmit information over the link using an ATM protocol.

62. A system for providing adaptive fragmentation of information packets transmitted over a link of a data network, the system comprising:

means for monitoring conditions on the link;

25 means for automatically enabling fragmentation on the link upon detecting the occurrence of a first condition; and

means for automatically disabling fragmentation on the link in response to detecting the occurrence of a second condition;

30 wherein the first condition relates to the detection of real-time traffic being carried over said link; and

wherein the second condition relates to the detection of no real-time traffic being carried over said link.

ABSTRACT OF THE INVENTION

At least one technique is disclosed for improving the efficiency of fragmentation  
5 techniques currently implemented in conventional data networks. According to a specific  
embodiments, fragmentation may be automatically and dynamically enabled on a  
particular link at times when there is real-time traffic being carried over that link. At times  
when there is no real-time traffic being carried over the link, fragmentation may be  
automatically and dynamically disabled or significantly reduced. In this way, the  
10 technique of the present invention may be used to improve the efficiency data networks.




Fig. 1A  
(prior art)




Fig. 1B




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Figure 5



DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY  
FOR ORIGINAL U.S. PATENT APPLICATION

Attorney's Docket No. CISCP175

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **ADAPTIVE FRAGMENTATION TECHNIQUES IMPLEMENTED ON A DATA NETWORK**, the specification of which,

(check one)

1. ☒ is attached hereto.

2. ☐ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ as  
U.S. Application No. \_\_\_\_\_  
and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_

3. ☐ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ as  
International PCT Application No. \_\_\_\_\_  
and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, CFR § 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States code, § 119(a)-(d) or § 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or § 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or PCT International application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

**Prior Foreign Application(s)**

Priority Benefits Claimed?

(Appl. No.) \_\_\_\_\_

(Country) \_\_\_\_\_

(Filing Date) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Yes ☐ No

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

**Prior Provisional Application(s)**

(Application No.) \_\_\_\_\_

(Filing Date) \_\_\_\_\_

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s), or § 365(c) of any PCT International application designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

**Prior U.S. Application(s)**

(Application No.)

(Filing Date)

(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

And I hereby appoint the law firm of **Beyer Weaver & Thomas, LLP** and all practitioners who are associated with the Customer Number 022434 as my principal attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

**Direct Correspondence To:**

**Customer Number: 022434**  
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP  
P.O. Box 1778  
Berkeley, CA 94704-0778



**Direct Telephone Calls To:**

Dean E. Wolf at telephone number (510) 843-6200

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

**Typewritten Full Name of**

**First Joint Inventor:**

Ming-Chin Chien

**Citizenship:** USA

**Inventor's signature:**

Ming Chin Chien

**Date of Signature:** 7/13/2000

**Residence: (City)**

San Jose

**(State/Country)** CA/USA

**Post Office Address:**

4871 Grimsby Drive, San Jose, CA 95130

**Typewritten Full Name of**

**Second Joint Inventor:**

Gregory A. Fowler

**Citizenship:** USA

**Inventor's signature:**

Gregory A. Fowler

**Date of Signature:** 7/13/2000

**Residence: (City)**

Mountain View

**(State/Country)** CA/USA

**Post Office Address:**

635 California Street, Mountain View, CA 94041